

# **COPYRIGHT WARNING**

This paper is protected by copyright. You are advised to print or download **ONE COPY** of this paper for your own private reference, study and research purposes. You are prohibited having acts infringing upon copyright as stipulated in Laws and Regulations of Intellectual Property, including, but not limited to, appropriating, impersonating, publishing, distributing, modifying, altering, mutilating, distorting, reproducing, duplicating, displaying, communicating, disseminating, making derivative work, commercializing and converting to other forms the paper and/or any part of the paper. The acts could be done in actual life and/or via communication networks and by digital means without permission of copyright holders.

The users shall acknowledge and strictly respect to the copyright. The recitation must be reasonable and properly. If the users do not agree to all of these terms, do not use this paper. The users shall be responsible for legal issues if they make any copyright infringements. Failure to comply with this warning may expose you to:

- Disciplinary action by the Vietnamese-German University.
- Legal action for copyright infringement.
- Heavy legal penalties and consequences shall be applied by the competent authorities.

The Vietnamese-German University and the authors reserve all their intellectual property rights.



# **PROJECT REPORT**

# AOARD Project Grant No. FA2386-17-1-0006, Proposal 16IOA006

## Title: Spatiotemporal imaging exploiting structural sparsity

### Principal investigator: Dr. Hien M. Nguyen

#### Research assistant: Nam H. Le

#### 1. Assigned tasks

#### **1.1** Create simulation data

To study the roles of independency, sparseness and separation factors of functional brain networks in terms of the success of the ICA and MCA methods for blind source separation, a simulation study based on two artificial networks of rectangular shapes is conducted.

The two networks,  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , are characterized by their spatial distributions and time series. A spatial distribution of each network has a dimension of  $100 \times 100$ , which consists of an activation region in a blurred-edge rectangular shape, and a uniform background. Their corresponding time series are taken from those of real brain datasets.



**Figure 1:** From left to right, networks  $V_1$ ,  $V_2$  and their data mixture.

The two networks were mixed together and added a Gaussian noise, resulting in a mixture, which was later unmixed by the ICA and MCA methods to evaluate the performance of the algorithms.

To quantify the mathematical and spatial relationship of  $V_1$  and  $V_2$ , we define three parameters: independence score (denoted as I), overlapping score (denoted as OvlScr), and sparsity score (denoted as SprsScr) as

$$IndScr = V_{12}/V_1 - V_2/V_1$$
$$OvlScr = V_{12}/V_2$$

$$SprsScr = \frac{V_1}{V} * 100.$$

# **1.2** Conduct simulations and experiments evaluating performance of ICA and MCA algorithms on simulation data

The performance of the ICA and MCA methods were evaluated on simulation cases of various levels of independency, sparseness and separation factors. The parameter defining the number of trained atoms is set to 2, 3, and 4.

For the MCA method, the sparsity parameter L is set to 1, 2, 3 and 4. The initial guesses of the MCA method is taken either from data elements, mixing matrix A (taken from the ICA decompositions), or matrix U (taken from the singular value decomposition).

Simulation study are done in two scenarios: rectangular networks and realistic networks. Performance of the methods, as well as their associated effects are investigated.

#### 2. Performed experiments

#### 2.1 Simulation study on rectangular networks

Ten case studies were conducted on rectangular networks of varying degree of independency, sparseness and separation factors.

Experimental configurations are as follows: nan University

- Number of trained atoms *K*: 2
- Sparsity parameter L: 1, 2
- MCA initialization method: data elements

#### 2.2 Miscellaneous experiments

An experiment was done to determine the optimized value of the number of iterations for the MCA method, which was 50. Increasing it to more than 50 does not improve the convergence of the algorithm.

#### 3. Achieved results

We found that if individual networks are independent, the ICA and the MCA methods succeed in decomposing the original networks, as shown in Fig. 2.

The performance of the MCA method depends largely on choice of its initialization method. Experiments show that data elements is not the best option for MCA initialization method. Figure 3 shows that the MCA method fails to decompose original network and there is sign of signal leakage.

The ICA method fails to decompose original network in some cases that individual networks are not independent. The decomposition result shows sign of leakage, as shown in Fig. 4. However, in some experiments that networks are not independent and not too large, both methods can decompose original networks with some leakages in them, as shown in Fig. 5.



Figure 2: Simulation case 1, ICA vs. MCA decomposition results.



Figure 3: Simulation case 2, ICA vs. MCA decomposition results.



Figure 4: Simulation case 3, ICA vs. MCA decomposition results.



Figure 5: Simulation case 4, ICA vs. MCA decomposition results.

- 4. On-going tasks to be completed by the end of RA work contract
- Repeat Experiment 2.1 for realistic functional MRI network dataset, which contains 31 brain slices.
- Repeat all experiments with K = 3,4,5, L = 3.
- For the MCA method, repeat the experiments with initialization methods that use SVD matrix *U* and mixing matrix *A*.
- Find more simulation cases which the ICA method fails to decompose properly. The simulation networks should be as realistic as possible. In those cases, validate if the MCA method outperforms the ICA method.
- Perform the group MCA method on the newly obtained datasets.
- Prepare the journal draft with corresponding results.

